1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS				
2	EASTERN DIVISION				
3	BRANDON HUDSON,	>			
4	Plaintiff,	}			
5	-VS-	}	No. 18 C 8243		
6	KIMBERLY M. FOXX, et al.,	}	Chicago, Illi	nois	
7	Defendants.	}	September 26, 9:00 a.m.	2019	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS				
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREA R. WOOD				
10	APPEARANCES:				
11	For the Plaintiff: THE SL Deb		BARROS LAW FIRM, LLC		
12	Woo	odstock, Il	ood Drive, 298 linois 60098		
13	DY:	115. 5140	NNIA DeBARROS		
14	333 West W		NKS MARMOR LLC Wacker Drive Illinois 60606 SARAH R. MARMOR		
15					
16	DY.	M3. SARA	NT K. MARMUK		
17					
18					
19					
20	COLETTE M MIEMMETH CCD DMD ECDD				
21	COLETTE M. KUEMMETH, CSR, RMR, FCRR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 219 South Dearborn Street Room 1928				
22					
23	Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 554-8931				
24					
25				EXHIBIT	

Α

(Proceedings heard in open court:)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE CLERK: 18 CV 8243, Hudson versus Foxx.

MS. DeBARROS: Good morning, your Honor. Sivonnia DeBarros on behalf of plaintiff.

MS. MARMOR: Good morning, your Honor. Sarah Marmor on behalf of the defendants.

THE COURT: Okay. So plaintiff wants to file an amended complaint to address the arguments raised in the motion to dismiss. Is there any reason why I shouldn't grant it?

MS. MARMOR: Well, several actually, your Honor. One is that there is no actual amended complaint attached to the motion, and this has really been a moving target at this point. I remind the Court that we filed our motion to dismiss in February, after a long delay.

The original counsel in this case withdrew, according to the record, on disagreement with the plaintiff about strategy in the case. On the last possible day for new counsel to appear, new counsel did appear. And as I represented to the Court the next day, the deal was that I gave an extra amount of time for our motion to dismiss to be filed on the representation that there was not going to be simply an amended complaint filed. That happened. filed their response. We then expended my client's money and time on a reply.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And part of what we say in our reply is that -because the response effectively says, well, I'd like to amend the complaint. And really, it concedes really what our motion was, and then tries to make a different argument about what the complaint says, but also says we'd like to file an amended complaint. And we then spent time and effort saying that that would be futile.

We now have a motion for leave to amend that says even new things about what they would like to say in their amended complaint. I think the fair thing to my client under these circumstances is that if your Honor is going to entertain the motion, that we be given -- that the actual amended complaint, a version that they want to present to the Court, be filed and that we be allowed then to submit a responsive brief to their motion for leave.

THE COURT: So the motion for leave does not include a date by which you would be ready to file this amended complaint, and it doesn't attach the amended complaint. So do you have one drafted, and how much time do you need to get it on file if I grant your motion?

MS. DeBARROS: Your Honor, if I could have by the end of October. I am leaving for vacation literally next week.

> THE COURT: How long are you going to be gone? MS. DeBARROS: For two weeks. We will be leaving

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the 2nd and returning on the 16th. I can push that out to try to get something done prior to the end of the month, but I will not have access to internet at all.

One of the reasons why there is not a proposed amended complaint attached to the motion, I've had some things that happened in my family, personal death, to be exact, and of course that took away that time. Not only that, but the issues with responding to the motion also took a great deal of time. And I feel like it would be detrimental to my client to just throw something together and not provide a complaint that would actually support the allegations that I feel like he could substantiate in this case.

Like we argued in the response, and of course I can't talk about what the previous drafter of the complaint was intending to do, but it appears from the face of the complaint that his former counsel intended that his claims also were being brought pursuant to other constitutional statutes. And so I would like the time so that I can make sure the complaint is well-drafted.

I have no problem with tendering a copy to opposing counsel once it's done, and like I say, your Honor, at least if I can get a date after October 16th to have it done. I will make sure that it is.

THE COURT: Have you, now that you have been in the

made in her reply brief that retaliation does not come in

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under equal protection, which I never argued in my response brief that it did. I was just making the point that he pled enough facts to support a retaliation claim. So they concede that he may also have claims under the First Amendment, which I believe that he does. Based on the information that I have, there are valid constitutional claims available to him that I feel as though he would be able to substantiate to this Court.

THE COURT: So most likely, Ms. Marmor, if I were to grant your motion, it would be granted without prejudice with leave to amend, because it's the first dismissal, because of the nature of the arguments that you've made and the fact that it's unlikely that I could reach a conclusion that they wouldn't be able to -- that they shouldn't at least have one more shot. Because typically the expectation is unless they've pled themselves out of court, a plaintiff typically will get at least one opportunity to try to fix the problems. That's certainly what the Court of Appeals encourages. I think it's probably the best practice.

It seems to me that perhaps allowing the amendment now, since they've described what they're going to do and how it's going to address the arguments in the motion to dismiss, could advance the ball, and that the amendment would be allowed with the understanding that it makes it much less likely that if there is another motion to dismiss and it's

successful that additional leave to amend would be granted, because plaintiff's counsel has already had an opportunity to address the deficiencies the first time around with the benefit of full briefing and now with the benefit of having time to investigate the claims.

MS. MARMOR: Could I just say a couple of things? THE COURT: Yes.

MS. MARMOR: I fully understand that, your Honor. That's sort of why I started with the point that it would be helpful to actually have the amended complaint in front of us to be able to address even the motion. And in fact, what counsel just said is sort of why I actually think it might be helpful to have a ruling on the motion to dismiss, because the claim that there could be a First Amendment allegation in this case suggests that counsel doesn't fully appreciate that the Opp and Americanos cases that we cited in our motion to dismiss all come from First Amendment jurisdiction. And for the same reasons that you can't be an employee under Title VII, an ASA cannot make a First Amendment claim in this case. So if we had some guidance on that I think that might be helpful.

As to the amendment, I just want to make clear for the record that one of the concerns that we really have, and this is part of the elements of whether or not you grant leave to amend, is undue delay. And I think there has been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

undue delay, and there continues to be undue delay in this case, and the concern I have is that people leave the employ of the State's Attorney's office all the time; memories fade. I don't know how far back their allegations will go. And so while I completely respect and understand your Honor's position, I do think there is prejudice to my client here.

THE COURT: Would this amended complaint add any new defendants? In other words, are there any other individuals? I don't know who it might be that you have in mind based on the complaint that I have now, but is it still going to be the state's attorney -- are there any other individuals that might be named somehow?

MS. DeBARROS: Your Honor, based on the complaint that I have, the only other individual that I can say may be named in the complaint is the chief of the narcotics division. And I can't remember her name off -- Jennifer Walker Coleman. Other than that, potentially Erin Antoinette Hadairi (phonetic).

THE COURT: Okay. Look, what probably should have happened here, you should have asked for leave to amend when you came in the case instead of responding. It is --

MS. DeBARROS: And, your Honor --

THE COURT: -- most often the case when new counsel comes in they want to amend the complaint. Whether they're

permitted to or not is sort of a different matter. But 2 usually the course of action is to ask for it at that point 3 in time. The whole point is your client was dissatisfied 4 with what was done the first time around, so I would have 5 thought that that would have been requested, and that's not 6 7 MS. DeBARROS: One reason I didn't do that, because 8 I did speak with opposing counsel, and her entire statement 9 was are you going to file a response because it's been 10 pending for awhile, or are you going to file an amendment basically to concede to our arguments. 12 13 14 15 16

1

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And my response to her was, well, I don't concede to your arguments. So since you have been waiting for a long time for your motion to dismiss to be briefed and heard, I'm going to file a response to it. And I did feel like it was necessary to have our arguments on file in regards to the motion to dismiss. So that was one of the reasons why.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to grant your motion for leave to amend.

MS. DeBARROS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Prepare your best amended complaint.

MS. DeBARROS: Okay.

THE COURT: That means -- you know the arguments that were raised for the first one. Make sure you've addressed them. Because if there is another motion to

1 dismiss and those same deficiencies are there --2 MS. DeBARROS: Correct. 3 THE COURT: -- I'm not going to grant leave again, 4 because you have already been on notice of those arguments 5 and you've addressed them. 6 It may be that even with respect to any new issues 7 that come up with new claims, potentially new defendants, 8 that I will be less likely to grant additional leave, because 9 I'm going to assume at this point you've had time to research 10 both factually and legally your best arguments here. So what 11 I'm looking for is your best complaint on that score. And 12 let's sort of skip to that phase and see if there is 13 something that's worth going forward on. 14 Now, you're going to be out on vacation from when 15 to when? 16 MS. DeBARROS: From October 2nd to October 16th. 17 THE COURT: Because I am asking for sort of your 18 best complaint that you can plead, I'll give you the time 19 until the end of the month to do so. I'll make it easy and 20 say October 31st. 21 MS. DeBARROS: That's fine. 22 THE COURT: Can you do it by then? 23 MS. DeBARROS: Um-hum. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So file it by October 31st. 25 I'll set a date to respond or otherwise plead.

1 I'll give you the full 21 days rather than 14 for 2 an amended complaint. So by November 21st, Ms. Marmor. And 3 I'll set it for a status after Thanksgiving. And that way if 4 there is a motion to dismiss it can be noticed for the status 5 date, perhaps the first week of December, and we can set a 6 schedule or the parties can agree on one. 7 MS. DeBARROS: I'm currently set for trial on 8 December 4, so that date doesn't work for me. 9 THE CLERK: The status was going to be December 10 3rd. 11 THE COURT: Or we could do it on the Tuesday before 12 Thanksgiving if the parties would prefer to get it out of the 13 way. 14 I'm going to be out of town that week. MS. MARMOR: 15 THE COURT: 0kav. 16 MS. DeBARROS: The 3rd works for me. 17 MS. MARMOR: That's fine with me. The 3rd is fine 18 with me. 19 THE COURT: So we'll set it for Tuesday, December 20 3rd, for a status. We'll get the motion on the 21st. So on 21 the 3rd we'll set a briefing schedule, and I'll also make a 22 decision once I've seen what this complaint looks like as to 23 what, if any, discovery should go forward. So come prepared 24 for that discussion as well. Okay? 25 MS. DeBARROS: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: A complete, fulsome complaint. Okay? MS. DeBARROS: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. (End of proceedings.) CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled case on September 26, 2019. /s/Colette M. Kuemmeth Court Reporter